

EXHIBIT A

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State, Bar number, and address): Gallio, Dale K 21800 Burbank Blvd, #310 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 TELEPHONE NO.: 818-347-3333 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): LETICIA BARRON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO MAURICIO BARRON, DECEASED	FOR COURT USE ONLY ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Orange 04/14/2017 at 11:10:00 AM Clerk of the Superior Court By Emma Castle, Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE STREET ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Drive West MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana 92701 BRANCH NAME: Central Justice Center	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: LETICIA BARRON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO MAURICIO BARRON, DECEASED	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: STATE OF CALIFORNIA	30-2017-00911997-CU-CR-CJC
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	Ref. No. or File No.: LETICIA BARRON v. STATE OF CA

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served copies of (specify documents):
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES; CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET; DECLARATION OF LETICIA BARRON, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO MAURICIO BARRON (C.C.P. SECTION 377.32)
3. a. Party served (specify name of party as shown on documents served):
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- b. ☒ Person (other than the party in item 3a) served on behalf of an entity or as an authorized agent (and not a person under item 5b whom substituted service was made) (specify name and relationship to the party named in item 3a):
S. Figueroa Clerk, ATTORNEY GENERAL CLERK, PERSON AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT, who accepted service, with identity confirmed by verbal communication, an Hispanic female approx. 25-35 years of age, 5'4"-5'6" tall, weighing 140-160 lbs with brown hair.
4. Address where the party was served:
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, 300 S SPRING ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90013
5. I served the party (check proper box)
- a. ☒ **by personal service.** I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on (date): **04/07/2017** at (time): **1:57 PM**
- b. ☐ **by substituted service.** On (date): _____ at (time): _____ I left the documents listed in item 2 with or in the presence of (name and title or relationship to person indicated in item 3): _____
- (1) ☐ **(business)** a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the office or usual place of business of the person to be served. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
- (2) ☐ **(home)** a competent member of the household (at least 18 years of age) at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the party. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
- (3) ☐ **(physical address unknown)** a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing address of the person to be served, other than a United States Postal Service post office box. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.
- (4) ☐ I thereafter caused to be mailed (by first class, postage prepaid) copies of the documents to the person to be served at the place where the copies were left (Code Civ. Proc § 415.20). Documents were mailed on (date): _____ from (city): _____ or ☐ a declaration of mailing is attached.
- (5) ☐ I attach a declaration of diligence stating actions taken first to attempt personal service.

BY FAX



PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: LETICIA BARRON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO MAURICIO BARRON, DECEASED	CASE NUMBER: 30-2017-00911997-CU-CR-CJC
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: STATE OF CALIFORNIA	

- c. ☐ **by mail and acknowledgement of receipt of service.** I mailed the documents listed in item 2 to the party, to the address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepaid,
- (1) on (date): (2) from (city):
- (3) ☐ with two copies of the *Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt* and a postage-paid return envelope addressed to me. (Attach completed *Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt*.) (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.30)
- (4) ☐ to an address outside California with return receipt requested. (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.40)
- d. ☐ **by other means** (specify means of service and authorizing code section):
- ☐ Additional page describing service is attached.

6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows:

- a. ☐ as an individual defendant.
- b. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
- c. ☐ as occupant.
- d. ☒ On behalf of (specify) **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
under the following Code of Civil Procedure section:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 416.10 (corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> 415.95 (business organization, form unknown) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.20 (defunct corporation) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.60 (minor) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.30 (joint stock company/association) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.70 (ward or conservatee) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.40 (association or partnership) | <input type="checkbox"/> 416.90 (authorized person) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 416.50 (public entity) | <input type="checkbox"/> 415.46 (occupant) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |

7. Person who served papers

- a. Name: **Mario Lopez**
- b. Address: **316 W 2nd St., 3rd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012**
- c. Telephone number: **213-621-9999**
- d. The fee for service was: **\$ 64.50**
- e. I am:

- (1) ☐ not a registered California process server.
- (2) ☐ exempt from registration under Business and Professions Code section 22350(b).
- (3) ☒ registered California process server:

- (i) ☐ owner ☐ employee ☒ independent contractor. For: **ABC Legal Services, Inc.**
- (ii) ☒ Registration No.: **5986** Registration #: **6779**
- (iii) ☒ County: **Los Angeles** County: **Los Angeles**

8. ☒ I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

or

9. ☐ I am a California sheriff or marshal and I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 4/10/17

Mario Lopez

(NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS/SHERIFF OR MARSHAL)

(SIGNATURE)

BY FAX

PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

Tracking #: **0016422097**



REF: LETICIA BARRON v. STATE OF CA



ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Orange

03/30/2017 at 11:33:25 AM

Clerk of the Superior Court
By Jeanette Torres-Mendoza, Deputy Clerk

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO
Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074)
dalekgalipo@yahoo.com
Renee V. Masongsong, Esq. (SBN 281819)
rvalentine@galipolaw.com
21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
Telephone: (818) 347-3333
Facsimile: (818) 347-4118

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

LETICIA BARRON, individually and as
successor in interest to Mauricio Barron,
deceased,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1-10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 30-2017-00911997-CU-CR-CJC
Judge Frederick P. Horn

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1. Unreasonable Search and Seizure—
Detention and Arrest (42 U.S.C. § 1983)
2. Unreasonable Search and Seizure—
Excessive Force (42 U.S.C. § 1983)
3. Unreasonable Search and Seizure—
Denial of Medical Care (42 U.S.C. §
1983)
4. Substantive Due Process, Interference
with Familial Relations—(42 U.S.C. §
1983)
5. False Arrest/ False Imprisonment
6. Battery (Wrongful Death)
7. Negligence (Wrongful Death)
8. Violation of Cal. Civ. Code §52.1 (Bane
Act)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW LETICIA BARRON, for her Complaint against Defendants STATE OF
CALIFORNIA and DOES 1-10, inclusive, and alleges as follows:

1 7. In doing the acts and failing and omitting to act as hereinafter described,
2 Defendants DOE OFFICERS were acting on the implied and actual permission and consent of
3 supervisory Defendants DOES 6-10.

4 8. In doing the acts and failing and omitting to act as hereinafter described,
5 Defendants DOES 1-10 were acting on the implied and actual permission and consent of the CHP
6 and the State of California.

7 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, association or
8 otherwise of Defendants DOES 1-10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who otherwise sues
9 these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to
10 show the true names and capacity of these Defendants when they have been ascertained. Each of
11 the fictitiously-named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the conduct or liabilities
12 alleged herein.

13 10. At all times mentioned herein, each and every Defendant was the agent of each and
14 every other Defendant and had the legal duty to oversee and supervise the hiring, conduct, and
15 employment of each and every Defendant.

16 11. All of the acts complained of herein by Plaintiff against Defendants were done and
17 performed by said Defendants by and through their authorized agents, servants, and/or employees,
18 all of whom at all relevant times herein were acting within the course, purpose, and scope of said
19 agency, service, and/or employment capacity. Moreover, Defendants and their agents ratified all of
20 the acts complained of herein.

21 12. All Defendants who are natural persons, including DOES 1 through 10, are sued
22 individually and/or in his/her official capacity as officers, sergeants, captains, commanders,
23 supervisors, and/or civilian employees, agents, policy makers, and representatives for the CHP.

24 13. On information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants DOES 1-10 were
25 residents of the county of Orange, California.

26 14. Mauricio Barron ("DECEDENT") died as a direct and proximate result of the
27 actions of Defendants DOE OFFICERS. Defendants DOE OFFICERS are directly liable for
28 Plaintiff's and DECEDENT's injuries under federal law pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

1 15. Defendant STATE and DOES 6-10 are liable for DECEDENT'S and Plaintiff's
2 injuries under California law and under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*. Liability under
3 California law for public entities and public employees is based upon California Government
4 Code §§ 815.2, 820, and 820.8.

5 16. On December 9, 2016, Plaintiff filed a comprehensive and timely claim for
6 damages with the State of California pursuant to applicable sections of the California Government
7 Code. Having received no written rejection, the claim has been deemed rejected by operation of
8 law.

9
10 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11 17. This Court has jurisdiction over the present matter because, as delineated within
12 this Complaint, the nature of the claims and the amount in controversy meet the requirements for
13 jurisdiction in the Superior Court of the State of California.

14 18. Venue is proper in this Court under Section 395(a) of the California Code of Civil
15 Procedure because all incidents, events, and occurrences giving rise to this action occurred in the
16 County of Orange, California, and because Defendants reside in the county of Orange, California.

17
18 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

19 19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through
20 18 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

21 20. On October 10, 2016, on the I-5 Freeway in Irvine, California, at approximately
22 6:55 p.m. on that date, an officer working for the CHP, under color of law and in the course and
23 scope of his employment, wrongfully shot and killed 27-year-old DECEDENT. Each of DOE
24 OFFICERS integrally participated or failed to intervene in the shooting, and each of DOE
25 OFFICERS was acting under color of law and in the course and scope of his or her employment.
26 At all relevant times, DECEDENT was unarmed, and he did not pose a risk of death or serious
27 bodily injury to any person. At the time of the shooting, DECEDENT had just been struck by a
28 car on the I-5 Freeway near the 133 toll road and was suffering from mental health issues.

1 Plaintiff BARRON had notified law enforcement about two days prior to this incident that the
2 decedent had recently been acting unstable and needed mental health assistance.

3 21. Upon information and belief, after being shot, DECEDENT was immobile,
4 bleeding profusely, and in obvious and critical need of emergency medical care and treatment.
5 Defendants did not timely summon medical care or permit medical personnel to treat
6 DECEDENT. The delay of medical care to DECEDENT caused DECEDENT extreme physical
7 and emotional pain and suffering, and was a contributing cause of DECEDENT's death.

8 22. DECEDENT sustained injuries, including but not limited to pain and suffering, loss
9 of enjoyment of life, and death when he was shot by DOE OFFICERS.

11 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

12 **Unreasonable Search and Seizure—Detention and Arrest (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

13 (Against Defendants DOE OFFICERS)

14 23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through
15 22 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

16 24. When individual defendants DOE OFFICERS shot DECEDENT, they violated
17 DECEDENT's right to be secure in his person against unreasonable searches and seizures as
18 guaranteed to the DECEDENT under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and
19 applied to state actors by the Fourteenth Amendment.

20 25. As a result of their misconduct, Defendants DOE OFFICERS are liable for
21 DECEDENT's injuries, either because they were integral participants in the wrongful detention
22 and arrest, or because they failed to intervene to prevent these violations.

23 26. Defendants DOE OFFICERS detained DECEDENT without reasonable suspicion
24 and arrested him without probable cause.

25 27. The conduct of Defendants DOE OFFICERS was willful, wanton, malicious, and
26 done with reckless disregard for the rights and safety of DECEDENT and therefore warrants the
27 imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants DOE OFFICERS.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Unreasonable Search and Seizure—Denial of Medical Care (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

(Against Defendants DOE OFFICERS, in each case in his/her individual capacity)

38. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

39. The denial of medical care by individual Defendants DOE OFFICERS deprived DECEDENT of his right to be secure in his person against unreasonable searches and seizures as guaranteed to DECEDENT under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applied to state actors by the Fourteenth Amendment.

40. As a result, DECEDENT suffered extreme pain and suffering and eventually suffered a loss of life and earning capacity.

41. Individual Defendants DOE OFFICERS knew that failure to provide timely medical treatment to DECEDENT could result in further significant injury or the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain, but disregarded that serious medical need, causing DECEDENT great bodily harm and death.

42. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with reckless disregard for the rights and safety of DECEDENT and therefore warrants the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants DOE OFFICERS.

43. Plaintiff brings this claim as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, and seeks both survival and wrongful death damages for the violation of DECEDENT's rights.

44. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Substantive Due Process (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

(Against Defendants DOE OFFICERS, in each case in his/her individual capacity)

45. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

1 46. BARRON had a cognizable interest under the Due Process Clause of the
2 Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution to be free from state actions that deprive
3 her of life, liberty, or property in such a manner as to shock the conscience, including but not
4 limited to, unwarranted state interference in Plaintiff's familial relationship with her son,
5 DECEDENT.

6 47. DECEDENT also had a cognizable interest under the Due Process Clause of the
7 Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution to be free from state actions that deprive
8 him of life, liberty, or property in such a manner as to shock the conscience.

9 48. The aforementioned actions of DOE OFFICERS, along with other undiscovered
10 conduct, shock the conscience, in that they acted with deliberate indifference to the constitutional
11 rights of DECEDENT and Plaintiff, and with purpose to harm unrelated to any legitimate law
12 enforcement objective.

13 49. DOE OFFICERS thus violated the substantive due process rights of Plaintiff to be
14 free from unwarranted interference with her familial relationship with DECEDENT.

15 50. As a direct and proximate cause of the acts of DOE OFFICERS, DECEDENT
16 experienced severe pain and suffering and lost his life and earning capacity. Plaintiff suffered
17 extreme and severe mental anguish and pain and has been injured in mind and body. Plaintiff has
18 also been deprived of the life-long love, companionship, comfort, support, society, care, and
19 sustenance of DECEDENT, and will continue to be so deprived for the remainder of her natural
20 life.

21 51. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with
22 reckless disregard for the rights and safety of DECEDENT and Plaintiff and therefore warrants the
23 imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants DOE OFFICERS.

24 52. Plaintiff brings this claim as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, and seeks both
25 survival and wrongful death damages for the violation of DECEDENT's rights.

26 53. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim.
27
28

1 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **False Arrest/False Imprisonment**

3 (Against Defendants DOE OFFICERS and STATE)

4 54. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through
5 55 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

6 55. Defendants DOE OFFICERS, while working as officers for the CHP, and acting
7 within the course and scope of their duties, intentionally deprived DECEDENT of his freedom of
8 movement for an appreciable amount of time by use of force, threats of force, menace, fraud,
9 deceit, and unreasonable duress. DOE OFFICERS detained DECEDENT without reasonable
10 suspicion and arrested the DECEDENT without probable cause.

11 56. DECEDENT did not knowingly or voluntarily consent.

12 57. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was a substantial factor in causing the harm to
13 DECEDENT.

14 58. The STATE is vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of Defendants DOE
15 OFFICERS pursuant to section 815.2(a) of the California Government Code, which provides that
16 a public entity is liable for the injuries caused by its employees within the scope of the
17 employment if the employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

18 59. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was malicious, wanton, oppressive, and
19 accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of DECEDENT, entitling Plaintiff to an
20 award of exemplary and punitive damages.

21 60. Plaintiff brings this claim as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, and seeks both
22 survival and wrongful death damages for the violation of DECEDENT's rights.

23 61. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim.

24
25 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

26 **Battery**

27 (Wrongful Death)

28 (Against Defendants DOE OFFICERS and STATE)

1 62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through
2 76 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

3 63. DOE OFFICERS, while working as officers for the CHP, and acting within the
4 course and scope of their duties, intentionally shot DECEDENT multiple times. As a result of the
5 actions of DOE OFFICERS, DECEDENT suffered severe pain and suffering and ultimately died
6 from his injuries and also lost his earning capacity. DOE OFFICERS had no legal justification for
7 using force against DECEDENT, and said Defendants' use of force while carrying out their CHP
8 officer duties was an unreasonable use of force.

9 64. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of DOE OFFICERS as alleged
10 above, Plaintiff and DECEDENT suffered extreme and severe mental anguish and pain and have
11 been injured in mind and body.

12 65. The STATE is vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of Defendants DOE
13 OFFICERS pursuant to section 815.2(a) of the California Government Code, which provides that
14 a public entity is liable for the injuries caused by its employees within the scope of the
15 employment if the employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

16 66. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was malicious, wanton, oppressive, and
17 accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff and DECEDENT, entitling
18 Plaintiff, individually and as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, to an award of exemplary and
19 punitive damages as to Defendants DOE OFFICERS and STATE.

20 67. Plaintiff brings this claim as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, and seeks both
21 survival and wrongful death damages for the violation of DECEDENT's rights.

22 68. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim.
23
24
25
26
27
28

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence (Cal. Govt. Code § 820 and California Common Law)

(Wrongful Death)

(Against all Defendants)

69. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 68 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

70. The actions and inactions of Defendants were negligent and reckless, including but not limited to:

- (a) the failure to properly and adequately assess the need to detain, arrest, and use force or deadly force against DECEDENT;
- (b) the negligent tactics and handling of the situation with DECEDENT, including pre-shooting negligence;
- (c) the negligent detention, arrest, and use of force, including deadly force, against DECEDENT;
- (d) the failure to provide prompt medical care to DECEDENT;
- (e) the failure to properly train and supervise employees, both professional and non-professional, including DOE OFFICERS;
- (f) the failure to ensure that adequate numbers of employees with appropriate education and training were available to meet the needs of and protect the rights of DECEDENT;

71. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged above, and other undiscovered negligent conduct, DECEDENT was caused to suffer severe pain and suffering and ultimately died and lost his earning capacity. Also as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged above, Plaintiff suffered extreme and severe mental anguish and pain and has been injured in mind and body. Plaintiff has also been deprived of the life-long love, companionship, comfort, support, society, care and sustenance of DECEDENT, and will continue to be so deprived for the remainder of her natural life.

1 79. DECEDENT was caused to suffer severe pain and suffering and ultimately died for
2 which he, by Plaintiff BARRON, as his successor in interest, is entitled to recover survival
3 damages.

4 80. The conduct of Defendants DOE OFFICERS was a substantial factor in causing the
5 harm, losses, injuries, and damages of DECEDENT.

6 81. STATE is vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of Defendants DOE OFFICERS
7 pursuant to section 815.2(a) of the California Government Code, which provides that a public
8 entity is liable for the injuries caused by its employees within the scope of the employment if the
9 employee's acts would subject him or her to liability.

10 82. The conduct of DOE OFFICERS was malicious, wanton, oppressive, and
11 accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of DECEDENT, entitling Plaintiff to an
12 award of exemplary and punitive damages.

13 83. Plaintiff brings this claim as a successor-in-interest to DECEDENT, and seeks both
14 survival and wrongful death damages for the violation of DECEDENT's rights.

15 84. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in her favor and against Defendants the State of California, and Does 1-10, inclusive, as follows:

- A. For compensatory damages, including both survival damages and wrongful death damages under federal and state law, in the amount to be proven at trial;
- B. For funeral and burial expenses, and loss of financial support;
- C. For punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be proven at trial;
- D. For interest;
- E. For reasonable costs of this suit and attorneys' fees; and
- F. For such further other relief as the Court may deem just, proper, and appropriate.

DATED: March 30, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

s/ Renee V. Masongsong

Dale K. Galipo
Renee V. Masongsong
Attorneys for Plaintiff LETICIA BARRON

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

DATED: March 30, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

s/ Renee V. Masongsong

Dale K. Galipo

Renee V. Masongsong

Attorneys for Plaintiff LETICIA BARRON